### TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS

## **PCT**

# RAPPORT PRÉLIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITÉ (chapitre I du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets)

(règle 44bis du PCT)

Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire H26102JHD6FD	POUR SUITE À DONNER	Voir le point 4 ci-dessous			
Demande internationale no. PCT/FR2005/000628	Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année) 16 March 2005 (16.03.2005)	Date de priorité (jour/mois/année) 19 March 2004 (19.03.2004)			
Classification internationale des brevets (8 <sup>e</sup> edition, sauf indication d'une #dition ant#rieure) Voir les informations pertinentes dans le formulaire PCT/ISA/237					
Déposant GREEN TECHNOLOGIES SARL					

1.	Le présent rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I) est établi par le Bureau international au nom de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale selon la règle 44bis.1.a).				
2.	Ce RAPPORT comprend un total de 8 feuilles, y compris la présente feuille de couverture.				
	Dans les feuilles jointes, toute référence à l'opinion écrite de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale doit être entendue, à la place, comme une référence au rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I).				
3.	3. Le présent rapport contient des indications relatives aux points suivants :				
	Cadre nº I	Base de l'opinion			
	Cadre nº II	Priorité			
	Cadre nº III	Absence de formulation d'opinion quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle			
	Cadre n° IV	Absence d'unité de l'invention			
	Cadre n° V	Déclaration motivée selon l'article 35.2) quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle; citations et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration			
	Cadre nº VI	Certains documents cités			
	Cadre n° VII	Certaines irrégularités relevées dans la demande internationale			
	Cadre n° VIII	Certaines observations relatives à la demande internationale			
4.	4. Le Bureau international communiquera le présent rapport aux offices désignés conformément aux règles 44bis.3.c) et 93bis.1 mais pas avant l'expiration du délai de 30 mois à compter de la date de priorité (règle 44bis.2), sauf si le déposant a présenté une requête expresse à cet égard en vertu de l'article 23.2).				
			Date d'établissement du présent rapport 01 November 2006 (01.11.2006)		
Bureau international de l'OMPI			Fonctionnaire autorisé		
34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Beate Giffo-Schmitt		
no de télécopieur +41 22 338 82 70 e-mail			e-mail: pt03@wipo.int		

Formulaire PCT/IB/373 (janvier 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION H26102JHD6FD See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/FR2005/000628 16.03.2005 19.03.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A21D2/04, A21D8/02, A21C1/10 GREEN TECHNOLOGIES SARL This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer Telephone No. Facsimile No.

International application No.

PCT/FR2005/000628

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	tional comments:
		•

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000628

Bo	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-15	YES
		Claims	16-18	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-18	NO .
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-18	YES
		Claims		_ NO
	•			

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: FR-A-2 831 023

D2: WO93/17561

D3: GB 880 182 A

D4: DE 196 24 229 A

D5: US 2004/022917 A1

D6: XP002304447

D7: XP002304446

- 1 The present application fails to comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) since the subject matter of claims 16-18 does not meet the requirement of novelty defined in PCT Article 33(2).
- D1 describes (page 2, line 20 page 5, line 7; page 16, lines 9-32; page 19, lines 1-2; and claims 1, 5, 6, 11) a vacuum kneading process with the introduction of an oxygen-containing gas, and also a device for implementing this process, comprising a chamber-shaped enclosure designed to contain the dough, a removable cover hermetically sealing said chamber, and kneading means

International application No. PCT/FR2005/000628

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

comprising a rotor. The device is characterized in that it includes gas supply means running into the enclosure.

The subject matter of claims 16 and 17 is therefore not novel.

- D2 describes (page 5, lines 1-20, example 1 and claims 1, 3-5) a method and an apparatus for mixing and kneading dough with the introduction of air or oxygencontaining gas.

The subject matter of claims 16 and 17 is therefore not novel.

- D3 describes a method and an apparatus for the continuous mixing of dough with the introduction of oxygen or air containing oxygen.

The subject matter of claims 16 and 18 is therefore not novel.

- D4 describes (column 1, line 54 - column 2, line 14, claim 1, figure 1) a device for delivering oxygen-enriched water into a kneader.

The subject matter of claim 16 is therefore not novel.

The present application fails to comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(3) since the subject matter of claims 1, 6, 7, 12, 14, 15 does not involve an inventive step.

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000628

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

D1 describes (page 2, line 20 - page 5, line 7; page 16, lines 9-32; page 19, lines 1-2; page 20, lines 22-28 and claims 1, 5, 6, 11) a vacuum kneading process with the introduction of an oxygen-containing gas, and also a device for implementing this process, comprising a chamber-shaped enclosure intended to contain the dough, a removable lid for hermetically sealing said chamber, and kneading means comprising a rotor.

The device is characterized in that it includes gas

The device is characterized in that it includes gas supply means running into the enclosure.

The subject matter of claims 1, 6, 7, 12, 15 differs from D1 in that the oxygen-containing gas is indicated as being ozone.

This feature has however already been used for the same purpose in similar processes, see D5, D6, D7.

It would be obvious to the person skilled in the art to apply this feature with corresponding effect to the process according to document D1, thereby arriving at a process according to claims 1, 6, 7, 12, 15.

- . D5 describes (paragraph 14, claims 1, 8, 17, 18) a method of preparing dough with the introduction during the mixing and kneading of an oxygen-rich liquid, for example by mixing with ozone.
- . D6 describes the preparation of a dough for noodles, which includes a step of mixing and kneading wheat flour, whey minerals and ozonated water.

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000628

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

. D7 describes the production of bread by kneading a dough composed of flour, ozone-treated water, salt and yeast, with fermentation of this dough and then baking.

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1, 6, 7, 12, 14, 15 does not involve an inventive step.

- 3 Dependent claims 2-5, 8-11, 13 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any one of the claims to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, the reasons being as follows:
- In claims 3-5, 8-10, 13, slight constructional changes in the process of claim 1 are defined which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen.
- The features of dependent claims 2 and 11 have already been used for the same purpose in a similar process, see D5.

It would be obvious to the person skilled in the art to apply these features with corresponding effect to the process according to document D1, thereby arriving at a process according to claims 2 and 11.

. D5 describes a method of preparing dough with introduction during the mixing and kneading of an oxygen-rich liquid, for example by mixing with ozone.

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 2-5, 8-11, 13

International application No.
PCT/FR2005/000628

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabil citations and explanations supporting such statement			regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; nent		
does	not	involve	an	inventive	step.
		•			
		1			
ļ		,			